

2282  
21/9/12

**DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY**  
**[BUDGET SECTION]**

No.F.4 (3)91/Performance Budget/2011-12/ 188

Dated 20/9/2012

**Sub: Minutes of the meeting of Monitoring Committee on DDA's Performance Budget for the financial year 2011-12 i.e. 1.4.2011 to 31.3.2012) held on 17.09.2012**

A meeting of the Monitoring Committee constituted to review the Performance Budget of DDA for the aforesaid period was held on 17.09.2012.

Members/Officials present were:-

1. Sh. P.R. Acharya, Finance Member,
2. Sh. Naseeb Singh, MLA,
3. Sh. Jitender Kumar, Member of Authority,
4. Smt. Asma Manzar Commissioner (LD),
5. Sh. Manish Kumar, Chief Accounts Officer,
6. Sh. P.K. Vats, CE (Projects) & CE (Electrical),
7. Sh. Ashok K. Nigah, CE (HQ) DDA,
8. Sh. S.R. Solanki, CE (DWK),
9. Sh. Om Prakash, CE (NZ),
10. Sh. A.K. Pandit, CE (SZ), DDA,
11. Sh. S.K. Minocha, Director (Finance),
12. Sh. Anil Kumar, P.M. (Fly-over),
13. Sh. N.C. Jedia, SE (Electrical),
14. Sh. M.K. Kashyap, SE (Elect.)P,
15. Sh. R.K. Gupta, PM (MPR)
16. Sh. R.C. Adhilakha, Dir. (Works),
17. Sh. A.K. Malik, SE (HQ)Rohini,
18. Smt. Kiran Seth, Dy CAO (Plan),
19. Sh. Paramjeet Singh, DD (LMC),
20. Sh. I.P. Singh, Manager (LMA),
21. Sh. M.C. Jain, AO (Budget),

21.09.

AO (Budget)

FM, DDA welcomed members present in the meeting and informed that today's meeting was being held to discuss the budget performance of DDA for the financial year 2011-12, so as to analyse the physical and financial achievements made during last year. He referred to the agenda circulated for information and perusal of the members and pointed out that the figures of receipts as well as payments, does not present good picture of performance during the last year. The figures compiled indicate a shortfall of Rs.348.07 Cr. in the activity under development of land and Rs.192.96 Cr. under construction of houses and shops.

He also referred to shortfall of Rs.716.26 Cr. in receipts from disposal of land during the last year. Commissioner (LD) present in the meeting explained that the disposal mode of commercial properties was through auction only and last year as many as 87 plots were put to auction and bid in respect of only two plots was received. She further informed that in the light of MPD 2021 the commercial activities have been allowed in the residential area with the result the demand for the commercial properties has gone down and shortfall in receipts was also on account of no demand of DDA plots having improper size, shape and location.

She also pointed out that the existing procedure of fixation of reserve price of plots needs to be reviewed and in order to generate higher receipts it was also necessary to review the time schedule of conducting auction of plots which are generally put to auction twice in a year. Commissioner (LD) informed that the properties which are available with CLD wing are old and no new properties have been placed at the disposal of Commercial Land Wing. FM, DDA was of the view that auction of plots may be conducted in August/September and again in January/February to get realistic estimate of receipts for

Sh. Jitender Kumar Member of Authority pointed out that DDA should ensure that the disposal of its prime location plots is not held up in the litigation because such litigation cases are not defended properly by the advocates appointed by DDA. He further advised that DDA should formulate a policy where the advocates appointed are changed at periodic intervals.

FM during the course of meeting referred to schemes under Nazul A/C-II and observed that in number of schemes the expenditure incurred was very less as compared to the budget provision kept in RE 2011-12 and in number of schemes no reasons were provided for incurring less expenditure in the Physical Performance Budget prepared by the Engineering Wing.

Sh. Naseeb Singh, MLA, informed that in the scheme integrated freight complex at Gazi Pur Whole Sale Market no expenditure is being incurred for providing road and proper lighting arrangement in the area. With the result the Budget Provision has remained unutilized.

In respect of scheme "Development of Community Centre at Motia Khan" against a provision of Rs.13.40 crore kept in RE-2011-12 no expenditure has been incurred. and no reasons have been given by the Engineering Wing for not utilizing the budget provision. FM desired to know the reasons for not incurring the expenditure under the scheme. It was informed by the EE concerned that the RPE of this work is pending with the office of EM / DDA since 2010.

The scheme of "Development of coronation Park in Kingsway Camp North Delhi." was reviewed and it was informed that for three months no work in the area could be done due to monsoon. FM, DDA pointed out that there was still six months after the monsoon to the end of financial year and that the reason put forward and mentioned against the schemes was not correct because the same related to this year, whereas the review of the schemes was being done for the last year.

While reviewing the scheme "Improvement of Circulation road around new constructed rotary Delhi Airport approach road to Dwarka" it was observed that the shortfall in expenditure was due to reduction in scope of work. FM, DDA pointed out that while there was reduction in the scope of work the budget provision should have been surrendered or funds utilized in some other Engineering scheme.

In respect of schemes under construction of houses it was observed that funds remained unutilized due to late approval of deviation by the competent Authority. For the scheme construction of 2144 LIG/MIG/SFS, multi storeyed flats in sector-18B it was informed by the concerned Chief Engineer that for this Project last year was the year of revival of work and this year the progress of project is very good and is likely to be completed by December, 2012 and that 20% to 25% flats have been handed over.

FM, directed all the Chief Engineers present in the meeting to review all schemes in their respective zones and wherever, shortfall in expenditure is anticipated the surplus funds should be surrendered in writing sufficiently in advance for being re-appropriated to other schemes. It was also emphasized that all Chief Engineers and their concerned officers must be present for such performance review meetings in future and any absence will be seriously viewed. At the end, the Finance Member reiterated that all C.Es. must closely monitor the schemes being executed in their respective divisions and they will be accountable for significant shortfalls unless satisfactorily explained.

This issues with the approval of FM, DDA.

  
Chief Accounts Officer

Copy to:-

1. Finance Member.
2. Engineer Member.
3. Sh.Subhash Chopra MLA
4. Dr.Harsh Vardhan MLA
5. Sh. Naseeb Singh MLA
6. Sh. Jitender Kumar, Member/DDA
7. Commissioner (Housing),DDA
8. Commissioner (LD),DDA.
9. Commissioner (LM),DDA.
10. Chief Engineer(HQ),(EZ),(NZ),(SZ),(RZ),(DWK),(P.&CWG) and (Elect.)
11. OSD to VC for kind information of the latter.
12. Director(Finance).DDA.
13. Dy.CAO(Plan),DDA.
14. P.S. to C.A.O. for kind information.