दिल्ली-110034

(R) 32968178 (M) 9810069116

WZ-2099, राजी बाग,

दुरभाष : (O) 27031020

E-mail: sudeshbhasin59@gmail.com

श भसीन

सदस्य : दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण



OFFICE OF UDM Dy. No. 3992

lated warming town of the

Dt. 14.11.2011.

Honoic Sii. Kamai Nath Ji Minister for Urban Development, Government of India Master Plan Section Nirman Bhawan . New Delhi

Suggestion for reviewing of MPD 2021./review of Tech. Committee decision item No 50/10 DT 12.11.10

Sub: Development control norms for notified LSCs. 4.4.3 new para to be added To allow same FAR as granted in MPD 2021 for both residential/com.residential plots in UTD.

Dear Sir.

It is humbly brought to your notice that the Tech. Committee of DDA in their 8th meeting held on 12.11.10 vide item No. 50/10 has taken a decision without going into its detailed pros and cons. and ignoring the suggestions of Municipal Corporation of Delhi under which the Tech. Committee has indirectly ordered the Municipal Corporation of Delhi to demolish the 50 years old built structures which were built without sanction on com. resi plots i.e. in all shopping centers of Delhi including 80 such centers which came into existence prior to 1962 and these additions carried on residential flats pertain to the period when these LSCs were under L&DO i.e. under Ministry of Urban Development and all these local shopping centers were transferred to Municipal Corporation of Delhi by the L&DO under decision of Cabinet as is where basis in the year 2007.(copies of the minutes of MCD recommendations dt. 26.5.10 and Tech.Committee of DDA decision dt. 12.11.2010 are enclosed herewith)

. If you go into the details of these minutes, you will find that in that decision the tech. Committee has totally reversed the Dev. Control norms and has divided Delhi into 2 type of land one residential plots and the other com. residential plots and the permissible 17 11 1 KB

Mary Mil DD MIN John

FAR in both differs. There is likely to be two parameters for Delhi one will get development code and norms for residential plots on the basis of MPD 2021 whereas the local shopping markets throughout in Delhi will continue to get standard plans FAR which is far below to the new protection given in MPD 2021, as per decision of Tech. Committee of DDA. If it is implemented its effect will fall adversely on pre 1962 developed LSCs, which were allotted against their claims to the refugees, migrated from Pakistan at the time of partition. The additions of floor were done by them on first floor above shops without permission. At that the L&DO under MOUD never objected nor any action for canceling their lease due to violation was initiated against them.

After examining such type of social and financial ground realities and to accommodate and facilitate the general public and to protect them from demolition all these unauthorized constructions throughout Delhi, all the earlier Master Plans of 1962, 1990,2001 were extensively modified and notified by the Central Govt on 7.2.2007 .The Tech. Committee has now totally overturned the concept of MPD 2021 and has given concurrence to demolish the even 50 years old built structures from major parts of city.

The reason for non-allowing the additional FAR permissible under MPD 2007 according to Tech. Committee observation is merely Parking congestion and traffic violation which is no valid reason and has no relevancy with it. Whether the Tech. Committee on regularizing the other residential plots has ordered to follow the Standard Plan norms due to parking problems and congestion where all such mixed land shops has even no provision of parking today whereas all these local shopping centers are well developed in planned areas and maximum have parking facilities etc. hence has no ground to pull down. The standard plans were made applicable during the period from 1962 and 1990. How these can be applicable for all LSCs land today as per the decision of the Tech. Committee when new FAR policy has been announced by the Govt.in MPD 2021. The Tech. Committee orders to implement the reverse old norms for com. residential plots throughout Delhi.

Some of the immediate unaccountable losses and problems will adversely affect where due to violations in properties these cannot be made free hold nor will be sold out due to recent ban of POA instrument. The purchase of alternate flats at this stage will be beyond capacity due to high-rise cost index. As soon as the Govt decision for non regularization of their houses and to remain on standard plan norms is published by the Govt as Public Notice, not only litigations / PIL will faced by the Govt but large scale of dharans and strikes will take place besides the criticisms in party meetings and parliament sessions and at that time the arbitrary decision taken will be taken back by the Govt. since it is neither practicable nor logic to implement. On the other hand the local bodies will

face a major loss of finances, which is to be recovered due to its conversion as well as regularization and parking fees etc.

All major decisions in which the interest of large number of community involved are taken prospectively after having completed all the formalities. The local bodies recommendations, corporators and the public opinion cannot be ignored as per rule which in this decision has been kept aside for the best reasons known to DDA who wish to divide the land under .two policies and two nature of decisions in one state, to make city paralyzed.

In view of the details facts given above, the decision of the Tech. Committee of DDA needs to be reviewed and revoked. The protection of regularization of unauthorized constructions under MPD 2021 is applicable to all types of land either residential or com. residential. MPD 2021 does not restrict the protection to particular categories of land it is applicable for all properties, which have come up upto 22.09.06 and are regulariseable as per norms of MPD 2021 in the interest of public.

With regards,

Yours faithfully,

(SUDESH BHASINL

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (BLDG.) HO TOWN HALL: CHANDNI CHOWK: DELHI



O/EE-II (Bldg.) HQ/2010

Mountes of the meeting held on 26/05/2010 at 4.00 P.M. under the Chairmanship of Additional Commissioner (Engineering) recarding implementation of provisions of

Shri R.K. Srivestava, Addl. Commissioner [6.199.)

in Chair

Lany V.K. Bugga: Chief Town Planner

Shirt Glan Chand, Chief Law, Officer Shri S.K. Midha, D.C./South Zone

Shiri Deepak Hastir, D.C./Central Zone

Shir R.K. Sharina, S.E.(B) HO

27. Sind Dilip Ramoani, SE/SZ

aller of

Shini, S. Yadav, EE(B)/Central Zone

Shri R.S. Gipta, EE(B)/South Zone

i.u., Soni Sanjay Yadav, EE-I (Bidg.) HQ dir. Stul Harminder Singh, EE-II (Bidg.) HQ

The issue pally upsed vis-à-vis decisions taken are as under:-

Restaurant on Mixed Land Use Streets / Roads

was observed that activities permitted on mixed land use shock, are sically defined in Master Plan-2021 as 'amended. The Restaurant does not form of page and rules if use and as such chall not be allowed on mixed land use MUTE ALS HOUSE LEADING .

ms for notified LSCs (erstwhile Shop-

organise of the contestion of the standard plans as per the approved layout plan union was prepared during the period of First Master Plan i.e. between 1962 and 1990.

There have been substantial revisions in the norms for residential plotted development and Shop-cum-Residence. The upper floors of property having status of Shop-cum-Residence have been allowed additional FAR accordingly. In case the original standard plans are allowed to be followed, the FAR permissible is less than what has already been achieved at site. Secondly, under mixed use regulations only residential plots situated on a notified commercial street are allowed to avail the benefit of development control norms for residential plot as per MPD-2021 with permitted activities as per Local hopping Centre. Thus, there are two norms for similar placed properties in the same area. It was decided that Town Planning Department will refer the case to the Technical Committee of DDA for reviewing its decision.

165/163

Master Plan Section 6th floor, Vikas Minar

H 3.1 million $\mathbb{R}.$ Disector (MP)

The Chial Town Planner Town Plauning Deptt. MCD,

Nigara Bhawan, Kashmere Gate

Delhi-110006.

Clarification of development control norms of shops cum residential plots losignated as Local Shoping Centre in MPD-2001/2021.

With reference to your letter No.TP/G/9218/10 dated 25.8.10 for advalue to a s 14, an agrada to be placed before the Technical Committee on the seligion conditions it has inform that the matter has been discussed in the 2th Technical Connection to active . 1 (0) 20 had on 12.11.2010 vide item No.50/10, as follows.

Table proposal was presented by the representatives from MCD. It was informed first the 10 of Development Control Norms for designated LSCs in KtPD- 2001/2021 with descussed earlier in the Technical Committee Meeting and the decision and subsequest digitate ions were conveyed to the MCD accordingly. The metian was deliberated in the morning, and considering the traffic circulation issues and perking problems in the existing designated USCs, it was desided that the Development Centrol Morans of NePD 2021 for . Administ plots cannot be extended to the Shop-cum Residence plots which wild continue write governed by the standard plans, approved by the Local Bodies"

the minutes of the above said meeting is also enclosed for your information of Emiliar necessary action please.

Thanking you,

I I I Asabave.

Yracta Seldefully

· Parector (MP)

2